

ON FUNCTION THEORY IN QUANTUM DISC: COVARIANCE

*D. Shklyarov S. Sinel'shchikov L. Vaksman **

Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

1 Modules over a Hopf algebra

We follow the approach of V. G. Drinfeld and M. Jimbo to constructing the quantum groups theory [4]. Everywhere in the sequel the deformation parameter q will be assumed to be a number from the interval $(0, 1)$.

The quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ determined by the generators K, K^{-1}, E, F and the relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \quad K^{\pm 1}E = q^{\pm 2}EK^{\pm 1}, \quad K^{\pm 1}F = q^{\mp 2}FK^{\pm 1},$$

$$EF - FE = (K - K^{-1})/(q - q^{-1}),$$

$$\Delta(K^{\pm 1}) = K^{\pm 1} \otimes K^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F.$$

Note that

$$\varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = \varepsilon(K^{\pm 1} - 1) = 0,$$

$$S(K^{\pm 1}) = K^{\mp 1}, \quad S(E) = -K^{-1}E, \quad S(F) = -FK,$$

with $\varepsilon : U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $S : U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ being respectively the counit and the antipode of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

It was shown in [3] that $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ can be derived from the (topological) Hopf algebra $U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2$ over the ring of formal series $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$. The latter Hopf algebra is determined by its generators X^+, X^-, H and the relations

$$[H, X^{\pm}] = \pm 2X^{\pm}, \quad [X^+, X^-] = \text{sh}(hH/2)/\text{sh}(h/2),$$

$$\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, \quad \Delta(X^{\pm}) = X^{\pm} \otimes e^{hH/4} + e^{-hH/4} \otimes X^{\pm},$$

$$\varepsilon(H) = \varepsilon(X^{\pm}) = 0, \quad S(H) = -H, \quad S(X^{\pm}) = -e^{\pm h/2} X^{\pm}.$$

$U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is a deformation of the ordinary universal enveloping algebra $U \mathfrak{sl}_2$, and the formal passage to a limit as $h \rightarrow 0$ in the determining relations of $U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2$ yields $U \mathfrak{sl}_2 \simeq U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2 / h \cdot U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

*Partially supported by the grant INTAS-94-4720

All the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules in the sequel will be assumed to be $\mathbb{R}$ -graded, with $K^{-1}v = \exp(\deg(v)h/2) \cdot v$ for any homogeneous element v . This restriction allows one to replace $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ by $U_h\mathfrak{sl}_2$ while passing to the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ as well as in tedious calculations:

$$q = e^{-h/2}, \quad K = e^{-hH/2}, \quad E = X^+ e^{-hH/4}, \quad F = e^{hH/4} X^-. \quad (1.1)$$

Everywhere below A will stand either for the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ or the subalgebra $U_q\mathfrak{b}_+ \subset U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$, generated by K, K^{-1}, E .

Tensor product of A -modules V_1, V_2 is defined as follows:

$$A \xrightarrow{\Delta} A \otimes A \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1) \otimes \text{End}(V_2) \simeq \text{End}(V_1 \otimes V_2),$$

and the trivial A -module $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

The morphisms of A -modules $\eta : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are also called invariant integrals.

The dual A -module V^* is defined via the antipode S as follows:

$$(al)(v) = l(S(a)v), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V, l \in V^*.$$

It follows from the definition of the antipode S that the natural pairing $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an invariant integral.

Proposition 1.1 *Linear functional $\eta : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an invariant integral iff*

$$\eta(av_1 \otimes v_2) = \eta(v_1 \otimes S(a)v_2) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2. \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. (1.2) means that the map $V_1 \rightarrow V_2^*$ given by the linear functional η is a morphism of A -modules. Hence it suffices to verify (1.2) for the generators $K^{\pm 1}, E, F$, which is straightforward. On the other hand, in the case (1.2) is satisfied, η becomes an invariant integral due to the definition of the antipode S . $\square$

Given A -modules V_1, V_2 , we consider the vector space of finite dimensional linear operators

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f = \{L \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2) \mid \dim(LV_1) < \infty\}.$$

Proposition 1.2 *There exists a unique A -module structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f$ such that the linear map $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f \otimes V_1 \rightarrow V_2, L \otimes v \mapsto Lv, L \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f, v \in V_1$, is an A -module morphism.*

Proof. The existence is evident in view of the canonical isomorphism of the vector spaces $i : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2 \otimes V_1^*$. It remains to demonstrate that for any A -module structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)_f$ with the above properties, i is a morphism of A -modules. This can be easily verified for the generators K, K^{-1}, E, F . $\square$

Corollary 1.3 *The finite dimensional linear operators in V form an A -module $\text{End}(V_f) \simeq V \otimes V^*$.* $\square$

Proposition 1.4 *The linear functional*

$$\text{End}(V_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad L \mapsto \text{tr}(LK^{-1}) \quad (1.3)$$

is an invariant integral.

Proof. Let $i_{st} : V \hookrightarrow V^{**}$ be the standard embedding of vector spaces. It follows from the relation $S^2(a) = K^{-1}aK$ that the map $i : V \hookrightarrow V^{**}$, $i : v \mapsto i_{st} \cdot K^{-1}v$ is an A -module morphism. It induces an invariant integral

$$\text{End}(V)_f \simeq V \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{i \otimes \text{id}} V^{**} \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Evidently, this integral coincides with that in (1.3). $\square$

To conclude, note that the tensor product of A -module morphisms is again an A -module morphism. More exact statement is that A -modules and their morphisms constitute a tensor category [3].

2 Covariant algebras and bimodules

Consider an algebra F , which is also an A -module. F is said to be an A -module (covariant) algebra if the multiplication

$$m : F \otimes F \rightarrow F, \quad m : f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 f_2; \quad f_1, f_2 \in F,$$

is an A -module morphism [1].

In the case of a unital algebra F the above definition also includes the assumption

$$\forall a \in A \quad a \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(a)1. \quad (2.1)$$

An element v of a A -module V is called an invariant if the map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$, $z \mapsto z \cdot v$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, is an A -module morphism. In this context, (2.1) claims that the unit of F is an invariant.

One can view the algebra $\text{End}(V)_f \simeq V \otimes V^*$ and the tensor algebra $T(V) = \mathbb{C} + V + V \otimes V + \dots$, associated to the A -module V , as the examples of covariant algebras.

A bimodule M over a covariant algebra F is said to be A -module (or covariant) if M itself is an A -module, and the maps

$$F \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad f \otimes m \mapsto fm,$$

$$M \otimes F \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes f \mapsto mf, \quad f \in F, \quad m \in M,$$

are A -module morphisms.

Impose the notation $U_q \mathfrak{su}(1,1) = (U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2, *)$, $U_h \mathfrak{su}(1,1) = (U_h \mathfrak{sl}_2, *)$ for the Hopf $*$ -algebras whose involutions are given by

$$h^* = h, \quad H^* = H, \quad (X^\pm)^* = -X^\mp,$$

$$E^* = -KF, \quad F^* = -EK^{-1}, \quad K^* = K.$$

A covariant algebra F is called a covariant $*$ -algebra if it is equipped with an involution, and

$$\forall f \in F \quad (\xi f)^* = (S(\xi))^* f^* \quad (2.2)$$

for all elements ξ of the $*$ -Hopf algebra.

It is very well known [6, 9] that $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ can be equipped with a structure of a covariant $*$ -algebra. This result was extended onto the case of "prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type" in [10], where the detailed calculations were also performed for the case of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$. In particular, one has [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} K^\pm z &= q^{\pm 2} z, & Fz &= q^{1/2}, & Ez &= -q^{1/2} z^2, \\ K^{\pm 1} z^* &= q^{\mp 2} z^*, & Ez^* &= q^{-3/2}, & Fz^* &= -q^{5/2} z^{*2}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

("in a different notation" we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hz &= 2z, & X^- z &= e^{-h/4}, & X^+ z &= -e^{h/4} z^2, \\ Hz^* &= -2z^*, & X^+ z^* &= e^{h/4}, & X^- z^* &= -e^{-h/4} z^{*2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let F be a covariant algebra, M a covariant bimodule over F , and $\eta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ — an invariant integral. Proposition 1.1 implies the following "formula of integration by parts":

Proposition 2.1 $\forall f \in F, \psi \in M, a \in A$

$$\int (af)\psi d\eta = \int f(S(a)\psi) d\eta, \quad \int (a\psi)f d\eta = \int \psi(S(a)f) d\eta.$$

3 The covariant algebra $D(U)_q$

We refer to [12] for definitions of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ -bimodules $D(U)_q$, $D(U)'_q$ of finite functions and distributions respectively in the quantum disc. It follows from the definitions that $D(U)'_q$ may be identified with the space of formal series

$$f = \sum_{jk} a_{jk} z^j z^{*k}. \quad (3.1)$$

The subspace $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ is constituted by finite sums of the form (3.1), and the structure of algebra in $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ and that of bimodule in $D(U)'_q$ are given by the commutation relation $z^* z = q^2 z z^* + 1 - q^2$. It follows from the definition of $D(U)_q$ that $f \in D(U)_q$ iff

$$z^{*N} \cdot f = f \cdot z^N = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The space $D(U)'_q$ is equipped with the topology of coefficientwise convergence, so $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ and $D(U)_q$ become its dense linear subspaces (see [12]).

It follows from the covariance of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$, together with (2.3), (3.1), (3.2), that the action of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{sl}(1, 1)$ can be extended by continuity onto $D(U)'_q$ and hence transferred onto $D(U)_q$. Of course, $D(U)_q$ is a covariant $*$ -algebra, and $D(U)'_q$ a covariant $D(U)_q$ -bimodule.

The work [12] contains a construction of an isomorphism T between $D(U)_q$ and the algebra of matrices $(l_{mn})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ with finitely many nonzero matrix elements. Impose a finite function f_0 in the quantum disc such that

$$l_{mn}(f_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = n = 0 \\ 0 & m^2 + n^2 \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}[z]_q$ and $\mathbb{C}[z^*]_q$ stand for covariant subalgebras of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$, generated respectively by z and z^* . It follows from the definitions that $D(U)_q$ is a covariant $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ -bimodule. As for f_0 , one evidently has $f_0 \cdot f_0 = f_0$, and

Proposition 3.1

1. $\{f \in D(U)_q \mid z^*f = fz = 0\} = \mathbb{C}f_0$
2. $D(U)_q = \mathbb{C}[z]_q \cdot f_0 \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^*]_q$.

Let $\tilde{H} = \{f \in D(U)_q \mid fz = 0\}$. The relation (2.3) and the covariance of the $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ -bimodule $D(U)_q$ imply

Proposition 3.2 $U_q \mathfrak{b}_+ \cdot \tilde{H} \subset \tilde{H}$.

It is also easy to deduce

Proposition 3.3 $\tilde{H} = \mathbb{C}[z]_q f_0 = \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q f_0 = D(U)_q f_0$.

According to propositions 3.2 and 3.3, the vector space $\tilde{H}$ is a $U_q \mathfrak{b}_+$ -module and a $D(U)_q$ -module. We denote the corresponding representations of $U_q \mathfrak{b}_+$ and $D(U)_q$ in $\tilde{H}$ respectively by $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{T}$.

We also use the notation $y = 1 - zz^*$. The following is a straightforward consequence of the definitions.

Proposition 3.4 $K^{\pm 1} \psi(y) = \psi(y)$ for any $\psi(y) \in D(U)_q'$.

Theorem 3.5 *The linear functional*

$$\eta : D(U)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \eta : f \mapsto \text{tr}(\tilde{T}(f) \tilde{\Gamma}(K^{-1})) \quad (3.3)$$

is an invariant integral.

Proof. It is easy to equip $\tilde{H}$ with a structure of pre-Hilbert space in such a way that $\tilde{T}(f^*) = \tilde{T}(f)^*$ for all $f \in D(U)_q$. By a virtue of proposition 3.4 one has $K^{-1}f_0 = \underline{f_0}$. This implies $K^{-1}(z^n f_0) = q^{-2n} z^n f_0$, and hence the linear functional η is real: $\eta(f^*) = \overline{\eta(f)}$ for all $f \in D(U)_q$. In view of (2.2), it suffices to show the $U_q \mathfrak{b}_+$ -invariance of this linear functional. For that, by proposition 1.4, it suffices to demonstrate that the morphism of algebras $\tilde{T} : D(U)_q \rightarrow \text{End}(\tilde{H})_f$ is also a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{b}_+$ -modules. To see that, one has to

apply the covariance of $D(U)_q$ and to use the same argument as in the proof of proposition 1.2. $\square$

Remark 3.6. The non-negativity of the invariant integral η follows from the existence of a positive scalar product under which $\tilde{T}(z^*) = \tilde{T}(z)^*$ (see section 1 of [12]).

Recall [12] that each element $f \in D(U)_q$ admits a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j>0} z^j \psi_j(y) + \psi_0(y) + \sum_{j>0} \psi_{-j}(y) z^{*j}. \quad (3.4)$$

Here ψ_j are finite functions defined on $q^{2\mathbb{Z}+}$ such that $\text{card}\{(i, j) \mid \psi_j(q^{2i}) \neq 0\} < \infty$.

Remark 3.7. The linear functional

$$\int_{U_q} f d\nu = (1 - q^2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_0(q^{2j}) q^{-2j}$$

used in [12] differs only by a scalar multiple from the linear functional η , and hence is an invariant integral.

Proposition 3.8

$$X^+ f_0 = -\frac{e^{-h/4}}{1 - e^{-h}} z f_0, \quad X^- f_0 = -\frac{e^{-3h/4}}{1 - e^{-h}} f_0 z^*. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. In virtue of (2.2), it suffices to verify the first relation. It was shown above that $X^+ f_0 \subset \tilde{H} = \mathbb{C}[z] f_0$. Besides, $H(X^+ f_0) = 2X^+ f_0$. This implies $X^+ f_0 = c_+ z f_0$ for some constant c_+ . The value of c_+ can be found via an application of X^+ to the relation $z^* \cdot f_0 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X^+(z^* f_0) = e^{h/4} f_0 + e^{h/2} z^* c_+ z f_0, \\ 0 &= e^{h/4} + e^{h/2} (1 - e^{-h}) c_+. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The proofs of the basic results announced in [12] require the following statement.

Theorem 3.9 f_0 generates the $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module $D(U)_q$.

Proof. Use the covariance of $D(U)_q$, proposition 3.8 and (2.4) to get

$$X^+(z^j f_0) = a_j z^{j+1} f_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} X^-(f_0 z^{*k}) = b_k f_0 z^{*(k+1)} \\ X^-(z^{j+1} f_0 z^{*k}) = c_{jk} z^j f_0 z^{*k} + d_{jk} z^{j+1} f_0 z^{*(k+1)}, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

and the inequalities $a_j < 0$, $b_k < 0$, $c_{jk} > 0$, $d_{jk} < 0$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Consider the subspaces L_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$:

$$L_m = \{f \in D(U)_q \mid f = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} z^i f_0 z^{*j}, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

It suffices to prove that for all m , $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \cdot f_0 \supset L_m$. We proceed by induction. Firstly, $L_0 = U_q \mathfrak{b}_+ \cdot f_0$ because of (3.6) and $a_j \neq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Secondly, $L_{m+1} \subset L_m + X^- L_m$ due to (3.7) and $b_k \neq 0$, $c_{jk} \neq 0$, $d_{jk} \neq 0$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. $\square$

Corollary 3.10 (*Uniqueness of the invariant integral*)

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2}(D(U)_q, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

Proof. If V is a free $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module, $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2}(V, \mathbb{C}) = 1$. On the other hand, theorem 3.9 assures the embedding of the vector spaces $\operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2}(D(U)_q, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2}(V, \mathbb{C})$. $\square$

Finally, note that one can prove the existence and the uniqueness of an invariant integral ($\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2}(D(U)_q, \mathbb{C}) = 1$) in many different ways. These are not those facts themselves that make an interest, but the explicit formula (3.3) for an invariant integral. A similar formula was published in [7].

4 Invariance of the operator $\square$

Consider a module V over a Hopf $*$ -algebra A . By its definition, the antimodule $\overline{V}$ coincides with V as an Abelian group, but the multiplication by scalars and the A -action in $\overline{V}$ are given by

$$(\lambda, \overline{v}) \mapsto \overline{\lambda} \cdot \overline{v}; \quad (a, \overline{v}) \mapsto (S(a))^* \overline{v}.$$

A sesquilinear form $V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be invariant if the associated linear functional $\eta : \overline{V}_2 \otimes V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an invariant integral.

It follows from the invariance of the scalar product in V that

$$\forall a \in A, v', v'' \in V \quad (av', v'') = (v', a^* v'').$$

In fact, by a virtue of proposition 1.1,

$$(av', v'') = \eta(\overline{v''} \otimes av') = \eta(S^{-1}(a)\overline{v''} \otimes v') = \eta(\overline{a^* v''} \otimes v') = (v', a^* v'').$$

Proposition 4.1 *The scalar product $(f_1, f_2) = \int_{U_q} f_2^* f_1 d\nu$ in $D(U)_q$ is invariant.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of invariance of the integral $\int_{U_q} f d\nu$ proved in the previous section. $\square$

It is easy to show that the structure of a covariant algebra in $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_q$ introduced in [10] can be transferred by a continuity onto $\Omega(U)_q$. The differential $d : \Omega(U)_q \rightarrow \Omega(U)_q$ is certainly a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules.

Consider the integral $\Omega(U)_q^{(1,1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\int_{U_q} f dz^* dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q^2 \cdot 2i\pi \int_{U_q} f(1 - zz^*)^2 d\nu$ introduced in [12, section 4]. This linear functional is an invariant integral since the linear operator

$$\Omega(U)_q^{(1,1)} \rightarrow D(U)_q; \quad f dz^* dz \mapsto f \cdot (1 - zz^*)^2$$

is a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules.

The work [12] implements the bimodules $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, over the algebra $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(0,*)}$. It was shown in [10] that these bimodules are covariant, and the operator $\bar{\partial} : \Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)} \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$ is a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. Furthermore, for the generator v_λ involved into the definition of $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$, one has ([10]): $\bar{\partial}v_\lambda = 0$,

$$K^{\pm 1}v_\lambda = q^{\pm \lambda} \cdot v_\lambda, \quad Fv_\lambda = 0.$$

(One can deduce also $Ev_\lambda = -q^{1/2} \frac{1-q^{2\lambda}}{1-q^2} zv_\lambda$ via observing that $Ev_\lambda \neq 0$, $Ev_\lambda = \text{const} \cdot zv_\lambda$, $F(Ev_\lambda) = -(EF - FE)v_\lambda = -\frac{q^\lambda - q^{-\lambda}}{q - q^{-1}}v_\lambda$, $F(zv_\lambda) = F(z)(K^{-1}v_\lambda) = q^{1/2}q^{-\lambda}v_\lambda$.)

One can find in [12] the construction of the covariant algebra $\Omega(U)_q^{(0,*)}$ and the bimodules $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$ over this algebra as completions in some special topology. It is easy to show that the actions of the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the operator $\bar{\partial}$ can be transferred by a continuity from $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$ onto $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$. Thus we obtain the covariant algebra, covariant bimodules and morphisms $\bar{\partial} : \Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)} \rightarrow \Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$ of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules.

Concerning the following proposition, we refer the reader to the relations (2.4), (2.5) of [12] which determine the scalar products in $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)}$ and $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)}$.

Proposition 4.2 *The scalar products in $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)}$ and $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)}$ are invariant.*

Proof. One can show that the linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 &: \overline{\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)}} \otimes \Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)} \rightarrow \Omega(U)_q^{(0,0)}, \\ j_0 &: \overline{(f_2 \cdot v_\lambda)} \otimes (f_1 \cdot v_\lambda) \mapsto f_2^* \cdot f_1 (1 - zz^*)^{-\lambda}, \\ j_1 &: \overline{\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)}} \otimes \Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)} \rightarrow \Omega(U)_q^{(1,1)}, \\ j_1 &: \overline{(f_2 v_\lambda dz^*)} \otimes (f_1 v_\lambda dz^*) \mapsto f_2^* \cdot f_1 (1 - zz^*)^{-\lambda} dz dz^* \end{aligned}$$

are the morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. So it remains to apply the invariance of the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(U)_q^{(0,0)} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Omega(U)_q^{(1,1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ f &\mapsto \int_{U_q} f d\nu, \quad f \cdot dz^* dz \mapsto \int_{U_q} f \cdot (1 - zz^*)^2 d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

which was proved above. □

An immediate consequence of proposition 4.2 is

Theorem 4.3 *The restrictions of linear operators*

$$\bar{\partial}^* : L^2(d\mu)_q \rightarrow L^2(d\nu)_q, \quad \square = -\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} : L^2(d\nu)_q \rightarrow L^2(d\nu)_q$$

onto the dense linear subspaces $\Omega(U)_q^{(0,1)}$ and $D(U)_q$ are morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules.

If V is a module over the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$, then the invariance of $v \in V$ means that $Ev = Fv = (K^{\pm 1} - 1)v = 0$.

Proposition 4.4 *The map which takes a distribution $k \in D(U)_q'$ to the linear functional $D(U)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f \mapsto \int_{U_q} k \cdot f d\nu$, realizes an isomorphism between the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module $D(U)_q'$ and the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module dual to $D(U)_q$.*

Proof. It suffices to use proposition 2.1, the invariance of integral, and the definition of a dual $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module. $\square$

Transfer by a continuity the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action from the algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op} \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ onto its completion $D(U \times U)_q'$.

Proposition 4.5

1. *The map that takes a "kernel" $K \in D(U \times U)_q'$ to the linear operator $D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q'$, $f \mapsto \int_{U_q} K(z, \zeta) f(\zeta) d\nu$ is one-to-one.*
2. *The integral operator $D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q'$ is a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules iff its kernel is invariant.*

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of the definitions, and the second one follows from proposition 2.1. In fact, the invariance of a kernel K is equivalent to being a solution of the "partial differential equation":

$$(\xi \otimes 1)K = (1 \otimes S^{-1}(\xi))K, \quad \xi \in U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.6. The invariance of $\square$ and proposition 4.5 hint that $\square^{-1}$ is an integral operator with invariant kernel.

5 The operator $\square$ and the Casimir element Ω

Consider the element

$$\Omega = FE + \frac{1}{(q^{-1} - q)^2} (q^{-1}K^{-1} + qK - (q^{-1} + q)) \quad (5.1)$$

of $U_q\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$. In view of (1.1) one also has

$$\Omega = X^-X^+ + \frac{1}{\text{sh}^2(h/2)} \text{sh}(Hh/4) \text{sh}((H+2)h/4). \quad (5.2)$$

(At the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ we get $\Omega = X^-X^+ + \frac{1}{4}H(H+2)$.) It is well known (see [3]) and is easy to verify that Ω is in the center of $U_q\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$.

It is worthwhile to note that

$$\Omega^* = \Omega, \quad S(\Omega) = \Omega. \quad (5.3)$$

In fact,

$$(FE)^* = FE, \quad (K^{\pm 1})^* = K^{\pm 1}, \quad S(FE) = EF,$$

$$S(\Omega) - \Omega = \frac{K^{-1} - K}{q^{-1} - q} - \frac{q^{-1}K^{-1} + qK - q^{-1}K - qK^{-1}}{(q^{-1} - q)^2} = 0.$$

Remind that the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\square$ was defined in [12] as $\square = -\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$.

Lemma 5.1 *For any function $\psi(t)$ with a finite carrier inside $q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}$,*

$$\square\psi(x) = -Dx(1 - q^{-1}x)D\psi(x),$$

with $x = (1 - zz^*)^{-1}$, $D : f(t) \mapsto (f(q^{-1}t) - f(qt))/(q^{-1}t - qt)$.

Proof. We use here the scalar product considered in section 4 with $\lambda = 0$. To prove the lemma, it suffices to obtain the relations

$$\|\psi(x)\|^2 = q^2 \int_1^\infty |\psi(t)|^2 d_{q^{-2}}t, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\|\bar{\partial}\psi(x)\|^2 = q^2 \int_1^\infty (Dt(q^{-1}t - 1)D\psi(t))\overline{\psi(t)} d_{q^{-2}}t, \quad (5.5)$$

with

$$\int_1^\infty f(t) d_{q^{-2}}t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{m=0}^\infty f(q^{-2m}) q^{-2m},$$

and ψ is a function with compact support $\text{supp } \psi \in q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}$.

(5.4) is obvious, and (5.5) follows from the relation

$$\bar{\partial}f(y) = -z \frac{f(y) - f(q^2y)}{y - q^2y} dz^*, \quad (5.6)$$

with $y = 1 - zz^*$. (5.6) implies that

$$\bar{\partial}\psi(x) = -\frac{z}{1 - q^2} x(\psi(x) - \psi(q^{-2}x)) dz^*$$

for any function ψ with a compact carrier $\text{supp } \psi \in q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}\psi(x)\|^2 &= (1 - q^2)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \left| \psi(q^{-2m}) - \psi(q^{-(2m+2)}) \right|^2 (1 - q^{2m+2}) q^{-2m} = \\ &= q^{-2} (q^{-2} - 1)^{-2} \int_1^\infty \left| \psi(t) - \psi(q^{-2}t) \right|^2 (1 - q^2 t^{-1}) d_{q^{-2}}t = \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{\psi(t) - \psi(q^{-2}t)}{t - q^{-2}t} \right|^2 \cdot t(1 - q^{-2}t) d_{q^{-2}}t.$$

We are to apply the "integration by parts" formula

$$\int_0^\infty u_1(x) \cdot \frac{u_2(q^{-2}x) - u_2(x)}{q^{-2}x - x} d_{q^2}x = -q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{u_1(x) - u_1(q^2x)}{x - q^2x} \cdot u_2(x) d_{q^2}x,$$

with $\int_0^\infty u(x) d_{q^2}x = (1 - q^2) \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty u(q^{2m}) q^{2m}$. In this way we obtain

$$\square\psi = -B_+t(1 - q^{-2}t)B_-\psi,$$

with B_+ , B_- being the linear operators given by $B_\pm f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(q^{\pm 2}t)}{t - q^{\pm 2}t}$. Finally we have

$$\square\psi = -D(qt)(1 - q^{-1}t)(B_-\psi)\Big|_{qt} = -Dt(1 - q^{-1}t)D\psi. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.2 *For all $f \in D(U)_q$ one has $q\square f = \Omega f$.*

Proof. Let $f_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = q^{-2j} \\ 0, & x \neq q^{-2j} \end{cases}$,

with $x = (1 - zz^*)^{-1}$. By a virtue of theorems 3.9, 4.3, it suffices to prove that $\square f_0 = \Omega f_0$. Now (2.4), (3.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega f_0 &= X^- X^+ f_0 = X^- \left(-\frac{e^{-h/4}}{1 - e^{-h}} z f_0 \right) = \\ &= -\frac{e^{-h/2}}{1 - e^{-h}} f_0 + \frac{e^{-3h/2}}{(1 - e^{-h})^2} z f_0 z^* = -\frac{1}{q^{-1} - q} f_0 + \frac{q^2}{q^{-1} - q} f_1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply lemma 5.1:

$$\square f_0 = -Dx(1 - q^{-1}x)Df_0 = -\frac{f_0}{1 - q^2} + \frac{q^2 f_1}{1 - q^2}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.3 *The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\square$ is extendable by a continuity from the linear subspace $D(U)_q \subset D(U)'_q$ onto the entire distribution space $D(U)'_q$.*

Corollary 5.4 *For any function $\psi(t)$ on $q^{-2\mathbb{Z}+}$ one has*

$$\Omega\psi(x) = q\square\psi(x) = qDx(q^{-1}x - 1)D\psi(x), \quad (5.7)$$

with $x = (1 - zz^*)^{-1}$.

Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(d\nu)_q^{(0)}$ of such functions on $q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ that $\int_1^\infty |f(x)|^2 d_{q^{-2}}x < \infty$. Let $\square^{(0)} : L^2(d\nu)_q^{(0)} \rightarrow L^2(d\nu)_q^{(0)}$, $\square^{(0)} : f(x) \mapsto -Dx(1 - q^{-1}x)Df(x)$, be "the radial part" of $\square$.

Lemma 5.5 *There exist constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$ such that $c_1 \leq -\square^{(0)} \leq c_2$.*

Proof. It follows from the definitions that the operator $\square^{(0)}$ is selfadjoint, bounded, and non-positive: $0 \leq -\square^{(0)} \leq c_2$. It remains to show that 0 is not in the spectrum of $\square^{(0)}$.

A similar result for the operator

$$q^{-1}Dx^2D : f(x) \mapsto \frac{1}{(q^{-1} - q)^2} \begin{cases} q^{-2}f(q^{-2}x) - (1 + q^{-2})f(x) + f(q^2x), & x \in q^{-2\mathbb{N}} \\ q^{-2}f(q^{-2}) - (1 + q^{-2})f(1), & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

in $L^2(d\nu)_q^{(0)}$ is easily available via considering an expansion in eigenfunctions. On the other hand, the continuous spectra of the operators $\square^{(0)}$ and $q^{-1}Dx^2D$ coincide since their difference is a compact operator (see [5]). Hence 0 does not belong to the continuous spectrum of $\square^{(0)}$. It remains to prove that 0 is also not an eigenvalue of this operator. Otherwise, there should exist such a function $\psi(y) \in L^2(d\nu)_q^{(0)}$ that $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$. That is,

$$-z \frac{\psi(y) - \psi(q^2y)}{y - q^2y} dz^* = 0.$$

Hence $\psi(y)$ is a constant, and $\int_{U_q} |\psi|^2 d\nu = \infty$. Thus, we get a contradiction due to our assumption that 0 is an eigenvalue of $\square^{(0)}$. $\square$

To finish our observations, we sketch the proof of [12, lemma 3.1]. Let us prove that $c_1 \leq -\square \leq c_2$.

Consider a vector space with a basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and a complex number l . Let $V^{(l)}$ stand for a $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module given by

$$X^\pm e_k = \pm \frac{\text{sh}((k \mp l)h/2)}{\text{sh}(h/2)} e_{k \pm 1}, \quad H e_k = 2k e_k.$$

This parametrization of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules is justified by the possibility of realizing them in the spaces of functions with fixed homogeneity degree l on a quantum cone (see [11, 13]).

It follows from the definitions that

$$\Omega v = \frac{\text{sh}(lh/2)\text{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\text{sh}^2(h/2)} v, \quad v \in V^{(l)}, \quad (5.8)$$

and with $l \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $V^{(l)}$ is a simple $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module. We need only those $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules $V^{(l)}$ for which $\text{sh}(lh/2)\text{sh}((l+1)h/2) < 0$. If $l_1 - l_2 \in \frac{2\pi i}{h}\mathbb{Z}$ or $l_1 + l_2 + 1 \in \frac{2\pi i}{h}\mathbb{Z}$, then obviously $V^{(l_1)} \approx V^{(l_2)}$. Conversely, if $V^{(l_1)} \approx V^{(l_2)}$, then $\text{sh}(l_1 h/2)\text{sh}((l_1 + 1)h/2) = \text{sh}(l_2 h/2)\text{sh}((l_2 + 1)h/2)$ and hence $l_1 - l_2 \in \frac{2\pi i}{h}\mathbb{Z}$ or $l_1 + l_2 + 1 \in \frac{2\pi i}{h}\mathbb{Z}$.

The above observations allow one to restrict oneself to the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules $V^{(l)}$ with $l \in \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3$,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{l \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re } l < 0, \text{ Im } l = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \{l \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} l = -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} l < \frac{\pi i}{h}\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{l \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} l > -\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Im} l = \frac{\pi i}{h}\}.$$

It is easy to prove the existence and the uniqueness of an invariant scalar product in $V^{(l)}$ with $(e_0, e_0) = 1$. This scalar product is positive:

$$(e_i, e_j) = 0, i \neq j; \quad (e_k, e_k) > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The irreducible $*$ -representations of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $V^{(l)}$, $l \in \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3$, are representations respectively from the additional series, the principal unitary series, and the strange series (see [8, 11]).

It follows from theorem 3.9 that the selfadjoint linear operator $\square^{(0)}$ has a simple spectrum. Hence (see [14]), there exists a Borel measure $dm(l)$ on the compact

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid c_1 \leq -\frac{\operatorname{sh}(lh/2)\operatorname{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\operatorname{sh}^2(h/2)}e^{h/2} \leq c_2\}$$

and a unitary operator $u : D(U)_q \rightarrow L^2(dm)$ such that $uf_0 = 1$, and for all $f \in D(U)_q$

$$u\square^{(0)}f = e^{h/2}\frac{\operatorname{sh}(lh/2)\operatorname{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\operatorname{sh}^2(h/2)}uf.$$

(Note that $dm(l)$ and u are uniquely determined by the properties listed above.)

Consider the completions $\overline{V^{(l)}}$ of the pre-Hilbert spaces $V^{(l)}$, $l \in \mathcal{L}_0$, and the direct integral $\overline{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_0} \int V^{(l)} dm(l)$ (see [2]). By the construction, the Casimir element Ω is well defined in the Hilbert space $\overline{V}$, and $c_1 \leq -q^{-1}\Omega \leq c_2$. Now it suffices to present an isometric operator $i : D(U)_q \hookrightarrow \overline{V}$ with $i\Omega f = \Omega i f$ for all $f \in D(U)_q$.

Let $l \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\Phi_l(x)$ a function on $q^{-2\mathbb{Z}}$ which is a solution of the boundary problem

$$\square^{(0)}\Phi_l(x) = e^{h/2}\frac{\operatorname{sh}(lh/2)\operatorname{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\operatorname{sh}^2(h/2)}\Phi_l(x), \quad \Phi_l(1) = 1. \quad (5.9)$$

In this case the distribution $\Phi_l(x)$, $x = (1 - zz^*)^{-1}$, in the quantum disc is a solution of the equation

$$\Omega\Phi_l = \frac{\operatorname{sh}(lh/2)\operatorname{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\operatorname{sh}^2(h/2)}\Phi_l.$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 5.6

1. For each $l \in \mathcal{L}$ there exists a unique injective morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules $i^{(l)} : V^{(l)} \rightarrow D(U)_q'$ such that $i^{(l)}e_0 = \Phi_l$.
2. For each $l \in \mathcal{L}$ there exists a unique linear operator $j^{(l)} : D(U)_q \rightarrow \overline{V}^{(l)}$ such that for all $f \in D(U)_q$, $v \in \overline{V}^{(l)}$ one has $(j^{(l)}f, v) = \int_{U_q} (i^{(l)}v)^* f d\nu$.

Proposition 5.7

1. The linear operator $i : D(U)_q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_0} \int \overline{V}^{(l)} dm(l)$, $i : f \mapsto j^{(l)} f$ is isometric and $i\Omega f = \Omega i f$ for all $f \in D(U)_q$.
2. The isometry i is extendable by a continuity up to a unitary operator $\bar{i} : L^2(d\nu)_q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_0} \int \overline{V}^{(l)} dm(l)$.

Proof. The relation $i\Omega f = \Omega i f$, $f \in D(U)_q$, and the invariance of the scalar product $[f_1, f_2] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (i f_1, i f_2)$, $f_1, f_2 \in D(U)_q$, follow directly from the fact that $i^{(l)}$ are morphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. Now one can deduce from the uniqueness of the unitary operator u that

$$u f(x) = q^2 \int_1^\infty \overline{\Phi_l(x)} f(x) d_{q^{-2}} x. \quad (5.10)$$

Hence $[f, f] = \int_{U_q} f^* f d\nu$ for all $f \in D(U)_q$ which are functions of $x = (1 - z z^*)^{-1}$. The sesquilinear form $[f, f] - \int_{U_q} f^* f d\nu$ is zero on a subspace of finite functions of the form $f(x)$.

One can readily apply theorem 3.9 that such sesquilinear form is identically zero. Thus the isometricity is proved. It remains to show that the linear subspace $iD(U)_q$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_0} \int \overline{V}^{(l)} dm(l)$. Note that its closure L is an invariant subspace of

the multiplication operator by the function $\frac{\text{sh}(lh/2)\text{sh}((l+1)h/2)}{\text{sh}^2(h/2)}$. This function is real on the compact $\mathcal{L}_0$ and separates its points. Hence L is a common invariant subspace for multiplication operators by functions $\varphi \in L^\infty(dm)$. It remains to observe that the subspaces $j^{(l)} D(U)_q$ are dense in $\overline{V}^{(l)}$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}_0$ due to the injectivity of the "conjugate" operators $i^{(l)} : V^{(l)} \hookrightarrow D(U)_q'$. It is well known (see [2]) that the listed properties of L imply $L = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_0} \int \overline{V}^{(l)} dm(l)$. $\square$

Corollary 5.8 $c_1 \leq -\square \leq c_2$.

This finishes the proof of [12, lemma 3.1].

Appendix. Covariant *-algebra $\text{Fun}(U)_q$

Consider the vector space

$$\text{Fun}(U)_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q + D(U)_q \subset D(U)_q'.$$

It is easy to show that the multiplication, the action of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the involution $*$ can be transferred by a continuity from $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ onto $\text{Fun}(U)_q$. The elements z, z^*, f_0 generate this covariant *-algebra. The complete list of relations has the form

$$z^* z = q^2 z z^* + 1 - q^2; \quad z^* f_0 = f_0 z = 0; \quad f_0 \cdot f_0 = f_0.$$

The action of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is determined by (1.1), (2.4), (3.5), and the relation $H \cdot f_0 = 0$. The involution $*$ possesses the following obvious properties: $*$: $z \mapsto z^*$, $*$: $f_0 \mapsto f_0$. One has the exact sequence of morphisms of covariant $*$ -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow D(U)_q \rightarrow \text{Fun}(U)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Every element $f \in D(U)_q'$ admits a decomposition into series

$$f = \sum_{jk} a_{jk}(f) z^j \cdot f_0 \cdot z^{*k}, \quad a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This decomposition is unique. $f \in D(U)_q'$ is a finite function iff $\text{card}\{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \mid a_{jk}(f) \neq 0\} < \infty$.

References

- [1] E. Abe. Hopf Algebras, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 74, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [2] O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson. Operator Algebras and quantum Statistical Mechanics I. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979.
- [3] V. Chari and A. Pressley. A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [4] J. C. Jantzen. Lectures on Quantum Groups. Graduate studies in Mathematics, Vol. 6, 1996, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [5] T. Kato. Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1966.
- [6] S. Klimec and A. Lesniewski, *A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc*, J. Funct. Anal. **115**, (1993), 1 – 23.
- [7] L. I. Korogodsky, L. L. Vaksman. Quantum G -spaces and Heisenberg Algebra, in Quantum Groups, P. P. Kulish (ed), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1992, v. 1510, p.p. 56 – 66, Springer, Berlin.
- [8] T. Masuda, K. Mimachi, Y. Nakagami, M. Noumi, Y. Saburi, K. Ueno. *Unitary representations of the quantum group $SU_q(1,1)$, II*, Lett. Math. Phys, **19** (1990), 195 – 204.
- [9] G. Nagy, A. Nica. *On the "quantum disc" and a "non-commutative circle"*, in: Algebraic Methods on Operator Theory, R. E. Curto, P. E. T. Jørgensen (eds.), Birkhauser, Boston, 1994, p. 276 – 290.
- [10] S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. *On q -analogues of Bounded Symmetric Domains and Dolbeault Complexes*, Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry; Kluwer Academic Publishers, V.1, No.1, 1998, 75–100, E-print: q-alg/9703005.
- [11] L. L. Vaksman, L. I. Korogodsky. Harmonic analysis on quantum hyperboloids, preprint Inst. Theor. Phys, ITP-90-27P, Kiev, 1990.

- [12] S. Sinel'shchikov, D. Shklyarov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc: integral representations, E-print: math.QA/9808015.
- [13] D. Shklyarov, S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc: invariant kernels, preprint q-alg, 1998.
- [14] K. Yosida. Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg-New York, 1966.